

procedures are very difficult to follow correctly because they are contradictory and they are in different sections. Much litigation has occurred over whether an appellant has properly appealed. Although the results of this litigation is frequently to the benefit of the city, for example, the appeal is dismissed because it was not properly executed, it is contrary to the principle that disputes should be decided on their merits and not upon procedural technicalities. LB 52 sets forth a clear and understandable set of appeals procedures which should eliminate the need for further litigation regarding the proper execution of an appeal. The Lincoln City Attorney and the Lincoln City Council supports this change wholeheartedly. I move for its advancement to Enrollment and Review.

SENATOR CLARK: Is there any discussion on the bill? If not, all those in favor of advancing the bill vote aye, opposed vote nay.

CLERK: Senator Clark voting yes.

SENATOR CLARK: Have you all voted on the advancement of LB 52? Record the vote.

CLERK: 28 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on the motion to advance the bill.

SENATOR CLARK: All right, Mr. Speaker, we have had a request to pass over 303 but not to drop it to the bottom of the file. It is an Urban Affairs bill.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Well we don't want to go on it. I'll decide on the bottom of the pile later but it is okay to jump over it. Did you want to continue until noon?

SENATOR CLARK: Yes.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Okay.

SENATOR CLARK: We will go to LB 22 then.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Yes, okay, go on to LB 22.

SENATOR CLARK: LB 22 will be next.

CLERK: Mr. President, if I may right before that, Banking reports LB 114 advance to General File and LB 69 advance to General File, both signed by Senator DeCamp. (See page 378 of the Legislative Journal.)

Senator Hoagland would like to print amendments to LB 274 in the Journal. (See page 378 of the Legislative Journal.)